## Exhibit 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:11-cv-6310

MPC FRANCHISE, LLC, and MP CLEARY, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BRENT TARNTINO,

Defendant.

BRENT TARNTINO and PUDGIE'S
PIZZA CORPORATION - HORSEHEADS, INC.,
Counterclaim Plaintiffs,
vs.

MPC FRANCHISE, LLC, and MP CLEARY, INC., Counterclaim Defendants.

This is the Examination Before Trial of BRENT TARNTINO

held on the 17th day of April, 2012 held at the law offices of Cooper, Pautz & Weiermiller, LLP, 2854 Westinghouse Road, Horseheads, New York 14845, commencing at 12:00 p.m.

> REPORTED BY: NANCY H. SWARTZ Shorthand Reporter Notary Public

> > NHS COURT REPORTING (607)215-2201

Page 2

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FISHER ZUCKER, LLC 21 South 21st Street
4	Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 825-3100
5	Jzucker@fisherzucker.com
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs MPC Franchise, LLC and MP Cleary, Inc.
7	BY: JEFFREY ZUCKER, ESQ.
8	BOND, SCHOENECK & KING
9	One Lincoln Center  Syracuse, New York 13202-1355
LO	(315)218-8515
L1	gmcguire@bsk.com Attorneys for Brent Tarntino BY: GEORGE R. MCGUIRE, ESQ.
L2	BLAINE T. BETTINGER, PH.D., ESQ.
L3	
Ļ4	STIPULATIONS
L5	
L6	It is stipulated by and between the
L7	parties hereto that the filing of the
L8	deposition is waived; that the deposition
L9	may be signed before any Notary Public;
20	and that all objections except as to the
21	form of the question are reserved to the
22	time of the trial.
23	
24	
25	

Page 3

1	INDEX:		
2			
3	WITNESS: I	Brent Tarntino	
4			
5	Examination By		Pages
6			
7	Mr. Zucker		4-61
8			
9	Affidavit page		62
10	Certificate page		63
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	EXHIBITS:		
16			
17	Number	Description	Page
18			
19	BT-1	Мар	43
20	BT-2	Letter to Pudgie's owners	58
21			
22			
23			,
24			
25			

BRENT TARNTINO,

having been called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined

and testified as follows:

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. ZUCKER:

Q Mr. Tarntino, my name is Jeff Zucker. We just met. I'm here to take your deposition in the case that's currently pending in Federal Court. My clients brought a complaint and then you and your company brought a counterclaim. So we're going to discuss issues regarding that case. All right?

A (Witness nods head).

Q You have to keep all your responses verbal so the court reporter can take them down. You know, sometimes in a conversation you might want to answer, you think where my question is going, you might want to answer but what you should do in this deposition is wait until I get my question out. That way your lawyer, if he wants to object, he can get his objection in and then you can answer. It's easier for the court reporter to take down the responses if you talk

1 A Yes.

Q Yes, before that day. In your trademark application you took a declaration, you signed a declaration, do you remember that?

A Yes.

Q And one of the items that you signed off on in the declaration was that to the best of your knowledge no other person or entity was using that Pudgie's trademark?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall that?

A Yes.

Q If you knew that TruFoods was using the Pudgie's trademark before July 2nd, the date you signed the declaration, why did you sign the declaration stating that no one else was using that trademark?

A Because I was filling the application out for pizza, pasta and subs. And the Pudgie's mark that is registered is under restaurants and his entity, that I found out through website and research, was chicken.

Q So you're reading the declaration to restrict it just to the goods and services you were registering?

Α Yes. 1 Did you have a lawyer help you with the 2 Q registration application? 3 Α Yes. 4 What was his name? 5 0 Aaron Alsheimer. А 6 And what law firm is he with? 0 7 Sayles & Evans. A 8 Is that in Horseheads? Q 9 Α Elmira. 10 What parts of the application 0 Elmira. 11 did you personally complete and what parts did he 12 complete? 13 I believe he completed the entire А 14 application. 15 And what was your role in his completing Q 16 the application? 17 He received all of the information in the Α 18 application from me. 19 And did you sit together in his office 20 0 and go through that? 21 Α Sometimes. 22 And what about on July 2nd, 2010, the 23 date that the application was actually submitted 24 to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, were you 25

And you admitted that paragraph, right? 1 Α 2 Yes. It doesn't reference that your sister or 3 you or Edward operates the business, correct? 4 It does not. 5 Α It references that the corporation, 6 0 Pudgie's Pizza Corporation - Horseheads, Inc. operates the business, right? 8 Α Yes. 9 0 And you admitted that, correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 In paragraph 22 of the complaint we 12 0 allege that, quote: In his trademark 13 application, that's referring to you, Tarntino 14 claims that he first began using the mark in 15 commerce in 1980. Do you see that? 16 Α 22? 17 Uh huh. 0 18 A Yes. 19 Is that an accurate statement? 20 Q I believe that to be accurate. Α 21 And how old were you in 1980? Q 22 It's a very difficult question to answer. Α 23 You can't answer the question how old you 24 0 were in 1980? 25

Α I cannot. 1 Q Why is that? 2 I'd have to do the math. Α 3 Q Go ahead. 4 Α You want every day? 5 No, I'm asking how old you were in 1980? 0 6 7 Α Okay. What year were you born in? Q 8 Α 1972. 9 So in 1980 you were eight years old. 10 Q I'll do the math for you. 11 I was seven. I'll do the math for you. Α 12 If you want to say seven, that's 0 13 So tell me at seven years old how you were 14 using the mark in commerce, the mark Pudgie's? 15 I was not. 16 Α Now, in your trademark application you 17 0 say that you were. Are you aware of that? 18 Α Yes. 19 And today you're saying that's not true, 0 20 right? 21 My mother was using -- I guess I don't 22 understand the question. 23 Okay. You filed a trademark application 24 Q in July 2010 with the help of your lawyer, right? 25

Α Yes. 1 You, meaning Brent Tarntino, the person 0 2 sitting here? 3 А Yes. 4 Under that trademark application you 5 swore under penalty of perjury that you, Brent 6 Tarntino, were using the mark as early as 1980, 7 right? 8 Yes. Α 9 In 1980 you say you were seven years old, 10 Q right? 11 Yes. Α 12 And you just admitted you weren't doing 0 13 anything to use the mark in commerce in 1980? 14 I was not using the mark in commerce in Α 15 16 1980. What have you done to correct the 17 0 statement in your trademark application? 18 MR. MCGUIRE: Object to the form. 19 Α Nothing. 20 Do you plan to correct the statement? 21 0 No. 22 Α And why's that? 0 23 Because in 1980 I was not directly using Α 24 the mark but my mother was, so I was indirectly 25

```
using the mark in 1980. When she died, I
 1
     inherited those years, in my opinion, therefore,
 2
     I was indirectly using the mark in 1980 and
 3
     continue to do so today.
 4
              So you believe that when your mom died in
 5
     2007 you inherited what?
 6
 7
         Α
              Her years.
              Her years of what?
         Q
 8
              Owning the business.
         Α
 9
              Okay. But you just told me that when she
         Q
10
     operated the business, she operated it as a
11
     franchisee, right?
12
         Α
              Yes.
13
              And as a franchisee, she was licensing
         0
14
     the mark from someone else, right?
15
              Yes.
         Α
16
              So what did you inherit?
         0
17
              The operation of Pudgie's and the use
         Α
18
     since 1980.
19
              But if she was operating it as a
20
     licensee, as a franchisee, explain to me what you
21
     think you inherited?
22
              My mother owned Pudgie's Pizza in
23
     Horseheads in 1980.
24
              Right.
         Q
25
```

I'm trying to think of the answer. Tf Α 1 you can give me a moment, I would appreciate it. 2 Oh yeah, sure. 0 3 I believe so. Α 4 If it wasn't Mr. McGuire, would it be 5 someone from his office or a totally different 6 attorney from a different office? 7 Totally different attorney. 8 Now, the specimen that you submitted, you 9 submitted the specimen to show your use of the 10 trademark Pudgie's in commerce, is that correct? 11 That's correct. Α 12 And how is that specimen, that picture, 13 how did it -- explain to me how it showed your 14 use, Brent Tarntino's use of the trademark 15 Pudgie's in commerce? 16 I don't understand the question. 17 The purpose of the specimen that you 18 submitted was to show Brent Tarntino's use of the 19 trademark Pudgie's in commerce, correct? 20 If I remember correctly, yes. Α 21 Explain to me how that specimen you 22 submitted shows that Brent Tarntino was using the 23 Pudgie's trademark in commerce? 24 If I remember correctly, I sent a pizza Α 25

box in from the shelf in my existing Pudgie's 1 location that I was working at every single day, 2 I grabbed it off of the shelf. I took a picture 3 of it. I sent it in to my attorney's office and 4 then I put the pizza box back on the shelf that I 5 was personally working at, that I personally 6 owned. 7 And, again, the Pudgie's Pizza shop in Horseheads where you were working, that's what 9 you're referring to? 10 Yes. Α 11 And that shop was owned by the Pudgie's 12 Pizza Corporation - Horseheads, Inc., is that 13 correct? 14 That I own, yes. Α 15 But it was operated, just so we 0 Right. 16 have a clear record, by the corporation, correct? 17 I own the corporation, correct. Α 18 Well, I understand that you, your sister Q 19 and your brother owned it, right? 20 Me, my sister and my brother Α Right. 21 owned the corporation, correct. 22 Paragraph 25 of the complaint states Q 23 Tarntino declared under penalty of perjury that: 2.4

and pursuant to 18 USC Section 1001, that he was

25

-- inaccurate in paragraph 25? 0 1 MR. MCGUIRE: Object to form. 2 I still don't understand. Α 3 Okay. We can move on. That's a fine 0 4 answer. 5 In paragraph 26 the plaintiffs allege that 6 you declare under penalty of perjury that the 7 statements in the trademark application were true. 8 And your answer, you deny that. 9 Are you denying that the statements that 10 you made were true? 11 Absolutely not. Everything that I said Α 12 in my United States Patent and Trademark Office 13 application, under my belief, was 100 percent 14 accurate. 15 Okay. 0 16 And I never intended to defraud or lie to 17 anybody. 18 Well, why are you saying here in 0 19 paragraph 26 that you deny that? 20 I have no answer for that. But I can 21 assure you that I've never lied or defrauded 22 anybody. 23 But this is your answer that you 24 submitted. 25

it is now. I believe all my answers to be 1 If they're not accurate, my ultimate accurate. 2 goal is to keep the mark Pudgie's, which I 3 believe I will be, to be used with pizza, pasta 4 and subs, submarine sandwiches, excuse me. 5 would certainly ask them to allow me to amend 6 mistakes that are made that are not based on the 7 granting of the mark. 8 That's fine. In the ninth Okav. 9 affirmative defense, that's the last one on page 10 seven, you say that plaintiffs -- that's my 11 clients the Clearys and their companies: 12 Plaintiffs claims are barred in whole or in part 13 by the doctrine of unclean hands. 14 Can you explain factually what you're 15 saying here? 16 I believe that the chicken guy, I 17 don't even know who he is, it's so funny to me, 18 the chicken guy --19 Help me out. I don't know who you're Q 20 talking about. 21 I don't either. I don't know who he is. 22 But his entity registered under the United States 23 Patent and Trademark Office is restaurants --24 Are you talking about TruFoods? 25 Q

So the TruFoods. Whoever he is. Α TruFoods guy can't grant marks. And to me it sounds like, and I've never seen any of this information, nobody has ever showed me anything, but from what they're saying, is that they received permission or, I guess I don't know the real word, some sort of contract with the chicken guy to sell pizza, pasta and subs. And to me that's not -- that's impossible. There's nothing that says the chicken guy can grant marks. looked through all of the USPTO stuff and it never says the chicken guy can give anybody rights.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

A So I don't understand how these guys are running around saying we have the rights to pizza, pasta and subs. Show me. There's no, I looked, there's -- show me pizza, pasta and submarine sandwiches and this can go away.

Q And in your sentence, these guys are running around doing this, are you talking about the Clearys or are you talking about the TruFoods guys?

A The Clearys. The Clearys are claiming the chicken guy gave them pizza, pasta and

So that's your time gets wasted? Q 1 My time is, oh, wasted? Α 2 And would there be any --0 3 I should record them. Α 4 And would there be any other damages -- I 5 understand that you have to spend time doing this 6 explaining. Is there any other kind of damages 7 you're suffering as a result of the MP Cleary 8 group coming into Elmira Heights? 9 As far as -- very difficult to show. Ι Α 10 believe there has been but if I was going to have 11 to show, you know, let's just take two people, 12 like the Elmira Heights Police Department. 13 show that they've been going to the Elmira 14 Heights Police Department because me and Rob 15 Cleary have a mutual friend there. And then 16 Horseheads also goes to the Elmira Heights Police 17 Department. 18 Prior to that North Main or College 19 Avenue would never, ever one time, and he's been 20 there for 15 years, can he ever remember them 21 ever going there. So every time MP Cleary would 22 deliver there, that order previously would have 23 been a Horseheads order. 24

25

And I probably have 15 to 20 of those,

you know, friends of mine that live in Elmira
Heights or those situations that I could actually
write out and provide those names.

Q Well, why would your friends not use your store?

A I just explained it to you. Me -- like, the Elmira Police Department, me and Rob have a mutual friend. Okay. I don't pick his friends and he doesn't pick my friends.

Q No, I understand that one example.

A Right. Well, that would be the example for all 15.

O Oh. Okay.

2.4

A Like, I would use another specific name, Wally Gonzalez, one of my best friends, just did a complete remodel at your client, Rob Cleary's house. Mutual friends. He lives in the Village of Elmira Heights. So now that Rob is coming up there, he probably would be splitting between the two of us, where before it would just be Horseheads.

Q Okay.

A And I would have other examples of those. So where we have mutual friends, I can show you that if they ever have, they never did before,

you know. 1 That specific person is the one that 2 brought in their first flyer that ever said ever 3 the words Elmira Heights on it to me. 4 Gonzalez. He said, dude, how bad are you gettin' 5 screwed? And he heard me call Rob the second I 6 seen it. I couldn't believe it. I couldn't 7 believe it that I was backstabbed the way I was. 8 When you submitted or were working on 9 your trademark application, either you or your 10 lawyer, did either you or your lawyer do a 11 search, did you search to see if there were any 12 other users of the Pudgie's trademark? 13 Α Yes. 14 Who did that? 0 15 Α I did. 16 And how did you do that search? 17 0 Through the USPTO website. Α 18 Did you do any other kind of searching? 0 19 No. Α 20 Like, what's referred to as a common law 0 21 search? 22 MR. MCGUIRE: Just for clarification, 23 can you explain the common law so he could 24 understand that? 25